#### i) Spend to Save Proposals

Proposals under this section are those that require non-recurrent budget provision. Proposals that came forward aim to improve services for vulnerable children and older people.

 Safeguarding and assessment – commissioning professional foster support for children.

To purchase professional foster care within Herefordshire to halve the number of children, from 14 to 17, in 2008/09 who will be placed in independent foster care outside the county.

Expenditure Required		Expect	ed Income/B	enefits	
2007/08 £000	2008/09 £000	2009/10 £000	2007/08 £000	2008/09 £000	2009/10 £000
135	100	50	Cashable 0	Cashable 200	Cashable 200
			Non cash 682	Non cash 426	Non cash 0

 Community Development Workers for adult social care [This was to be subsumed in the overall programme of improvements to adult social care – and now should be, since those were approved by Cabinet in December]

Population projections indicate an increase in numbers and in the proportion of older people in the population as a whole. A needs analysis carried out in 2006, indicates that, by 2011, there will be a 42.9% increase in the number of people aged 85 and over in Herefordshire. These significant demographic changes will place increasing pressure on health and social care to develop new models of care. The Community Development Workers will work within the community with local organisations to develop and promote and range of low-level support services. The workers will explore opportunities for the use of community facilities such as libraries, clubs, pubs, leisure facilities. These services would reduce the need for admission to hospital and residential care and intensive domiciliary packages.

Expenditure Required		Expected Income/Benefits			
2007/08 £000	2008/9 £000	2009/10 £000	2007/08 £000	2008/09 £000	2009/10 £000
45	46	47	109	127	182

## Independent Living Fund (ILF) worker (Adult Social Care)

The ILF is a Government funded charity, which provides funding to severely disabled people to enable them to live independently. Individuals have to be in receipt of high rate Disability Living Allowance and receive care services from the LA of a weekly value of over £210. Any amount provided by ILF reduces the LA financial commitment by the equivalent amount. The maximum provided by ILF is £475 per week. Currently not all eligible service

users are accessing ILF and alterations in care arrangements are not routinely reported to ILF. Employment of a specialist worker would maximise income against ILF by:

- increasing the number of people applying for and receiving ILF contribution;
- ensuring that any increases in care packages are shared by ILF;
- ensuring notification to ILF of decreases in care packages is timely.

Expenditure Required		Expected Income/Benefits			
2007/08 £000	2008/09 £000	2009/10 £000	2007/08 £000	2008/09 £000	2009/10 £000
25	25	25	35	35	35

#### ii) Spend to Mitigate Proposals

Proposals under this section will need recurrent budget provision. As with the previous proposals these are to improve services for vulnerable children and older people. The most significant and largest programme to ensure the Council can meet unavoidable future demand from older people and adults with learning difficulties.

#### • Modernised, high performing social care and community services for older people

Following a major review of older people's future social care needs in Herefordshire it has been confirmed that needs are increasing because of year-on-year increases in numbers of older people. Without changes being made it would cost nearly twice as much extra a year to provide relatively poor services than it would to provide high-quality, more efficient services to the larger number of people who will need them. The proposal also identifies the need for parallel investment to increase voluntary sector led community services. The investment in the overall proposal will substantially reduce the level of increased funding required if current patterns of service delivery continue. The proposal is also linked to a review leading to increased charges for those who can afford to pay. The following indicates two levels of investment required, one has an increase in charges and the other (higher) one assumes current charging policies remain.

	Expe	enditure Req	uired
Cost to the Council:	2007/08 £000	2008/09 £000	2009/10 £000
Additional costs if no increases in charges	2,040	1,894	1,894
Cumulative position	2,040	3,934	5,828
Additional costs with increase in charges	1,189	(245)	1,769
Cumulative position	1,189	944	2,713
Cumulative net cost of not increasing charges	851	2,990	3,115

## Modernised, high performing social care and community services for adults with learning difficulties (AWLD)

A major review of AWLD's future social care needs has been undertaken. With increasing life expectancy there has been an effect on the level of needs to be met. If no changes are made to the current approach and levels of charges to those who can afford to pay it would cost over five times as much extra a year to provide relatively poor services than it would to provide high-quality, more efficient services. As with the previous proposal a parallel investment is needed, but this time in general community services. The following information indicates two levels of investment required, one has an increase in charges and the other (higher) one assumes current charging policies remain.

	Expe	enditure Requ	uired
Cost to the Council:	2007/08 £000	2008/09 £000	2009/10 £000
Additional costs if no increases in charges	601	576	576
Cumulative position	601	1,177	1,753
Additional costs with increase in charges	469	125	562
Cumulative position	469	594	1,156
Cumulative net cost of not increasing charges	132	583	597

#### • Integrated services and inclusion for young people

This proposal intends to enable better outcomes for young people with disabilities who are leaving school and require ongoing support. The JAR said that present arrangements were deficient: this is therefore part of the JAR Improvement Plan. It provides for co-coordinating resources and creates more options for those young people thus reducing their support needs. The proposal requires the following investment and produces some non cashable benefits:

Expenditure Required		Expect	ed Income/B	enefits	
2007/08 £000	2008/09 £000	2009/10 £000	2007/08 £000	2008/09 £000	2009/10 £000
18	20	20	Cashable 0	Cashable 0	Cashable 0
			Non cash 15	Non cash 10	Non cash 5

#### Commissioning and Improvement in services for Children and Young People

This investment is required to establish a Data & Performance Unit within the directorate, as a prerequisite to gaining improved service and higher standards, including through more effective scrutiny.

Expenditure Required		Expected Income/Benefits			
2007/08 £000	2008/09 £000	2009/10 £000	2007/08 £000	2008/09 £000	2009/10 £000
100	0	0	Cashable 0	Cashable 0	Cashable 0
			Non cash 0	Non cash 1,190	Non cash 238

## • Safeguarding and Assessment for children

To fund additional social workers to bring provision in line with recommended standards, thereby ensuring improvements in quality of provision and reducing reputational risk. Number of assessments to be increased from 220 per 10,000 to 350 per 10,000 leading to a reduction of children on looked after register. Cashable saving from reduced need to use agency staff to carry out assessments.

Expenditure Required		Expected Income/Benefits			
2007/08 £000	2008/09 £000	2009/10 £000	2007/08 £000	2008/09 £000	2009/10 £000
300	300	0	Cashable 0	Cashable 375	Cashable 185
			Non cash 408	Non cash 408	Non cash 0

#### • Integrated services and inclusion – increasing family support

Increased family support to address issues at an early stage before they escalate into crises which require expensive intervention. 10% reduction in number of children having to be looked after. Additionally, proposal would help looked after children to lose fewer days' schooling, as well as achieving a 2% increase in the proportion of those gaining 1 or more GCSEs.

Expenditure Required			Expected Income/Benefits			
2007/08 £000	2008/09 £000	2009/10 £000	2007/08 £000	2008/09 £000	2009/10 £000	
228	0	0	Cashable 0	Cashable 117.5	Cashable 59	
			Non cash 187	Non cash 277	Non cash 0	

# FINANCIAL PROFILE OF SPEND TO SAVE AND SPEND TO MITIGATE PROPOSALS

	Ex	penditure Requi	red	Expe	cted Income/Ben	efits
	2007/08 £000	2008/09 £000	2009/10 £000	2007/08 £000	2008/09 £000	2009/10 £000
i) Spend to Save Safeguarding and assessment – commissioning professional foster support for children.	135	100	50	0	200	200
Independent Living Fund (ILF) worker	25	25	25	35	35	35
ii) Spend to Mitigate Modernised, high performing Social Care and Community Services for older people  • Additional costs if no	2,040	1,894	1,894	-	-	-
increases in charges <ul><li>Additional costs with increases in charges</li></ul>	1,189	(245)	1,769	-	-	-
Modernised, high performing social care and community services for adults with learning difficulties						
Additional costs if no increases in charges	601	576	576	-	-	-
Additional costs with increases in charges	469	125	562	-	-	-
Integrated Services and inclusion for young people	18	20	20	0	0	0
Commissioning and Improvement in services for Children and Young People	100	0	0	0	0	0
Safeguarding and Assessment for children	300	300	0	0	375	185
Integrated services and inclusion – increasing family support	228	0	0	0	117.5	59
Total without increases in charges	3,447	2,915	2,565			
Total with increases in charges	2,464	325	2,426			
Total Income				35	727.5	479